

Australasian Survey of Student Engagement 2009 Institution Report









## Overview

This 2009 AUSSE Institution Report provides information that you can use to stimulate evidence-based conversations about enhancing students' engagement in university education. We present the AUSSE Institution Report in a ring binder because it brings together a range of different materials on student engagement and want to invite you to use these materials in any way you see fit. We hope that this collection grows as your conversations about engagement take shape. The AUSSE is conducted by, for and with participating institutions. The intention is to provide institutions with new and significant perspectives for quality management and enhancement.

# Report sections

**Introduction** Overview

Report sections

AUSSE 2009 institutions

Acknowledgments

AUSSE team and resources

**Background** Introduction

Key benefits of the AUSSE Overview of the AUSSE

Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ)

Overview of the SSES

Staff Student Engagement Questionnaire (SSEQ)

The research and enhancement cycle

A valid and efficient sample

**Reports** Respondent characteristics

Response categories

Item statistics
Scale statistics
Subgroup statistics
Annual scale statistics

**Enhancement** Using academic development to enhance student engagement

Engaging students through academic skills development

Engaging students' career development

How academic program coordinators can advance student

engagement

How university planning managers can advance student

engagement

Enhancing engagement through institutional research

Engaging international students

How libraries and librarians can support student engagement

Using student engagement data for marketing

How parents and partners can support students' engagement How quality assurance staff can advance student engagement How the university's senior leaders can advance student engagement

Developing a graduate engagement statement

Becoming engaged with university Establishing student expectations Engaging students in the AUSSE

Developing institution-wide approaches to student engagement

Enhancing interactions between students and staff Broadening staff involvement in student learning Benchmarking for continuous improvement

Monitoring quality data over time Learning about student engagement

## Attachments

**ACER** overview

AUSSE 2009 brochure

Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ)

Staff Student Engagement Questionnaire (SSEQ)

## **AUSSE 2009 institutions**

In total, 35 higher education institutions participated in the 2008 AUSSE. This includes 30 from Australia and five from New Zealand – six more than in 2008 and ten more than in 2007. In addition, ten institutions participated in the Staff Survey of Student Engagement (SSES). These institutions are shown with '(SSES)' in the following table.

## **Australian institutions**

University of Canberra

University of New England (SSES)

Australian Catholic University Australian National University **Bond University** Charles Darwin University (SSES) **Charles Sturt University** CQ University (SSES) **Curtin University Deakin University Edith Cowan University** Flinders University **Griffith University** James Cook University La Trobe University (SSES) Macquarie University Murdoch University Queensland University of Technology (SSES) Southern Cross University (SSES) University of Adelaide

## **New Zealand institutions**

Lincoln University
Massey University
University of Canterbury
University of Otago
Victoria University of Wellington (SSES)

## **Australian institutions**

## **New Zealand institutions**

University of Newcastle (SSES)
University of Notre Dame (SSES)
University of Queensland
University of South Australia
University of Southern Queensland
University of Tasmania (SSES)
University of Technology Sydney
University of the Sunshine Coast
University of Wollongong
Victoria University

Review of this list indicates that it covers the range of each country's universities. This is important, as it facilitates the production of meaningful benchmarks and provides a solid foundation for cross-institutional conversations.

# **Acknowledgements**

A very warm thanks is offered to students and staff who responded to the 2009 AUSSE and SSES, and who participated in the pilot of the POSSE. Student and staff participation is obviously vital for student engagement.

Engagement depends on institutions putting in place conditions that facilitate people's involvement in education. A warm thanks to those institutions that took part in the 2009 AUSSE.

The National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) team at Indiana University in the USA have played a highly supportive and significant role in the AUSSE. Particular thanks to Associate Professor Alexander McCormick, Professor George Kuh, Dr Robert Gonyea, Mr Todd Chamberlain, Dr Tom Nelson Laird and Dr Jillian Kinzie. In addition to their more general guidance, items in questions 1 to 12 and 15 to 17 in the AUSSE Student Engagement Questionnaire have been used with permission from The College Student Report, National Survey of Student Engagement, Copyright 2001–09 The Trustees of Indiana University. Items in the Staff Student Engagement Questionnaire have been used with permission from the Faculty Survey of Student Engagement, Center for Postsecondary Research, Copyright 2001-09 The Trustees of Indiana University.

The AUSSE is overseen by an Advisory Group, and special thanks is offered to: Professor Tom Angelo (LaTrobe University), Professor Sam Ball (Technical Adviser), Dr Marcia Devlin (Deakin University), Professor Martin Hayden (Southern Cross University), Professor Kerri-Lee Krause (Griffith University), Dr Stephen Marshall (Victoria University of Wellington) and Professor Geoff Scott (University of Western Sydney).

## **AUSSE** team and resources

A team of research and support staff manage the AUSSE at ACER. The team brings together individuals with considerable expertise in the design and management of large-scale surveys.

Dr Hamish Coates is the AUSSE Director. The 2009 AUSSE was managed by Ms Ali Radloff, with support from Mr David Rainsford, Mr Daniel Fullarton, Mr Ling Tan, Mr Rob Jinks, Mr Jim Carrigan, Ms Wendy McGregor and Mr Craig Grose.

This AUSSE Institution Report forms part of a suite of AUSSE resources. Other key resources include the Australasian Student Engagement Report, AUSSE Research Briefings, AUSSE Administration Manual, data files, AUSSE Enhancement Guides, and workshops and meetings.

For further information about the AUSSE, please contact:

Australasian Survey of Student Engagement (AUSSE) Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER) Private Bag 55, Camberwell, 3124, Victoria, Australia <a href="mailto:ausse@acer.edu.au">ausse@acer.edu.au</a> <a href="mailto:www.acer.edu.au/ausse">www.acer.edu.au/ausse</a>





# A vital perspective

## Introduction

Student engagement is concerned with students' participation in activities and conditions that have been linked with high-quality learning, and with how institutions support such engagement. These are fundamental facets of university education.

The concept provides a practical lens for assessing and responding to the significant dynamics, constraints and opportunities facing higher education institutions. It provides key insights into what students are actually doing, a structure for framing conversations about quality, and a stimulus for guiding new thinking about best practice.

Although central to many aspects of education, prior to the AUSSE information on student engagement had not been readily available to Australasian higher education institutions. Existing data collections tended to focus on satisfaction with provision and the broader aspects of the student experience. The lack of information on student engagement has limited the potential to plan and improve key aspects of student learning and development.

Student engagement is an idea specifically focused on students in higher education and their interactions with their institution. The idea touches on aspects of teaching, the broader student experience, learners' lives beyond university, and institutional support. Students lie at the heart of conversations about student engagement; conversations that focus squarely on enhancing individual learning and development.

The concept of student engagement is based on the premise that learning is influenced by how an individual participates in educationally purposeful activities. While students are seen to be responsible for constructing their knowledge, learning is also seen to depend on institutions and staff generating conditions that stimulate and encourage involvement.

This perspective draws on decades of empirical research into higher education student learning and development. In addition to confirming the importance of ensuring appropriate academic challenge, this research has emphasised the importance of examining students' integration into institutional life and involvement in educationally relevant, 'beyond-class' experiences.

Measures of student engagement provide information about individuals' intrinsic involvement with their learning, and the extent to which they are making use of available educational opportunities. Such information also provides information on learning processes, is a reliable proxy for learning outcomes, and provides excellent diagnostic measures for learning enhancement activities.

## **Key AUSSE benefits**

Several characteristics distinguish the AUSSE as a highly valuable quality enhancement activity in Australasian higher education.

## Robust technical properties

- Psychometrically validated instruments
- Efficient and robust sampling strategy
- High-quality analysis and reporting practices

## Significant new perspectives

- Evidence on student learning processes and institutional supports
- Proxy measures of key learning and development outcomes
- 'Learner-centred whole-of-institution' perspective
- Index of students' involvement in study

## Quality-assured approach

- One of the world's most advanced institutional research activities
- Central management and collaboration with institutions
- Standardised survey support materials
- Quality-assured administration strategy

## New opportunities

- Cross-institutional benchmarking
- Cross-national comparison
- Data on the support provided by institutions for student learning
- Information for attracting, engaging and retaining students

# **Australasian Survey of Student Engagement (AUSSE)**

## Overview of the AUSSE

The Australasian Survey of Student Engagement (AUSSE) provides data that Australian and New Zealand higher education institutions can use to attract, engage and retain students. The AUSSE reports on the time and effort students devote to educationally purposeful activities and on students' perceptions of the quality of other aspects of their university experience.

The AUSSE is a new quality enhancement activity managed for Australasian higher education institutions by the Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER). It builds on foundations laid by the North American National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE). The AUSSE was conducted for the third time in 2009 with 35 higher education institutions in Australia and New Zealand. By providing information that is generalisable and sensitive to institutional diversity, and with multiple points of reference, the AUSSE plays an important role in helping institutions monitor and enhance the quality of education.

The AUSSE measures student engagement through administration of the Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ) to a representative sample of first- and later-year

students at each institution. With formative links to the NSSE which has been deployed at more than 1,300 universities and colleges across the United States and Canada, the AUSSE provides data that complement and extend current collections of data that focus on satisfaction with the quality of teaching and the learning environment. It thereby makes available to higher education institutions an important means for measuring and monitoring the effectiveness of learning and teaching.

The AUSSE was developed to bring together existing work in the field and to leverage benefits from a collaborative, multi-institutional approach. It is critical that surveys involve validated instruments and processes so that they provide the kind of high-quality data that can be used to improve practice. Information on validation of the SEQ is summarised below. It is also critical to have meaningful points of reference such as cross-institutional and cross-national benchmarks to get the most value from reports, along with well-tested strategies for interpreting results and improving practice.

The cross-national comparisons facilitated by the AUSSE are important. While higher education is an increasingly internationalised activity, data limitations have to date constrained comparative analyses. Specifically, very little student-level and process-or outcomes-focused data is available. Through its links with the USA and Canadian NSSE, and with other collections in South Africa, China, Korea and Japan, the AUSSE represents a trend towards developing more educationally nuanced cross-national collections and interpretations.

The AUSSE is conducted by, for and with participating Australasian institutions. The intention is to provide institutions with new and significant perspectives for managing and enhancing the quality of education. Each participating institution is given an AUSSE Institution Report of its own results. The Australasian Student Engagement Report (ASER) provides a broader cross-institutional and cross-national perspective of the results.

## **Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ)**

The AUSSE survey instrument is called the Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ). The SEQ is based on the College Student Report, the instrument used with over 1,300 institutions in the NSSE in North America. Close links between these two instruments provide a basis for benchmarking.

The SEQ is designed for administration to undergraduate students in under 15 minutes online or in paper form. The same SEQ content is provided to all students. To manage and reduce levels of item-level non-response, sampled students were randomly distributed one of three different online versions, each containing different orderings of the items. All students who submit an online form (around 80 per cent of all responses) are presented with an overview of student engagement and summary of key findings (see: <a href="http://www.acer.edu.au/ausse/findings.html">http://www.acer.edu.au/ausse/findings.html</a>).

The survey instrument contains items that tap a range of key educational phenomena. A selection of these items are grouped together to measure the six summary scales:

**Academic** Extent to which expectations and

**Challenge** assessments challenge students to learn

**Active** Students' efforts to actively construct their

**Learning** knowledge

**Student and** Level and nature of students' contact with

**Staff Interactions** teaching staff

**Enriching Educational** Participation in broadening educational

**Experiences** activities

**Supportive Learning** Feelings of legitimation within the

**Environment** university community

**Work Integrated** Integration of employment-focused work

**Learning** experiences into study

The SEQ also provides measurement of seven outcome measures. The measure of average grade is captured through a single item on the SEQ. The other six measures are composite measures which reflect responses to a group of items.

**Higher-order** Participation in higher-order forms of

**Thinking** thinking

**General Learning** Development of general competencies

**Outcomes** 

**General Development** Development of general forms of individual

**Outcomes** and social development

**Career** Preparation for participation in the

**Readiness** professional workforce

**Average** Average overall grade so far in course

**Overall Grade** 

**Departure**Non-graduating students' intentions on not returning to study in the following year

**Overall** Students' overall satisfaction with their

**Satisfaction** educational experience

ACER further developed and validated the College Student Report before deploying it in Australia and New Zealand as the Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ). A range of new and redesigned items were included in the SEQ. Validation included focus groups, cognitive interviews, pilot testing and expert review. Psychometric and conceptual analyses were conducted. This work builds on the extensive validation in the USA of the College Student Report.

A critical feature of the SEQ is its foundation in empirically based theories of student learning. Items in the SEQ are based on findings from decades of research on the activities and conditions linked with high-quality learning. This foundation helps assure the educational importance of the phenomena measured by the instrument.

The SEQ will grow with further development of the AUSSE. The form is analysed on a continuing basis, and assessed through myriad institutional and cross-institutional consultations and reviews. Evolution of the instrument depends on evidence of the kinds of engagement that are linked with high-quality learning outcomes.

# **Staff Student Engagement Survey (SSES)**

## **Overview of the SSES**

The Staff Student Engagement Survey (SSES) complements the Australasian Survey of Student Engagement (AUSSE). In 2009, all institutions that participated in the AUSSE were invited to take part in the SSES. The SSES measures academics' expectations for student engagement in educational practices that have been linked empirically with high quality learning and development.

The SSES is a survey of academic staff about students. Technically, while academic staff are the respondents, the students that they teach remains the unit of analysis. The SSES focuses on:

- academics' perceptions of how often their students engage in different activities
- the importance they place on various areas of learning and development
- the nature and frequency of staff-student interactions
- how they organise their time, both in and out of the classroom.

The SSES builds directly on the Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE), a survey that has been run by Indiana University's Center for Postsecondary Research since 2004. To date, more than 125,000 academics from more than 600 universities have taken part in the FSSE.

Compared with student feedback, relatively little information from academic staff is collected in Australasian higher education. Such information can help:

- identify gaps between student engagement and staff expectations
- engage staff in discussions about student engagement
- provide information on staff awareness and perceptions of student learning
- enable benchmarking of staff responses across institutions.

The SSES is run as an online survey only. Emails inviting staff to take part in the survey are sent by each institution to their academic staff. Responses to the online survey are returned directly to ACER.

SSES reports follow those produced for the AUSSE. In summary, institutions are provided with a customised institution report containing staff response and norms (if possible given response characteristics), and a de-identified unit-record data file containing staff responses.

Ensuring the confidentiality of response plays a critical role in assuring the validity of survey outcomes. Only de-identified data and reports are provided to institutions.

Where respondent numbers are very small, the data are made anonymous, which includes the removal of demographic data.

The SSES adds a new student-focused perspective to the data available for evidence-based quality enhancement of university education in Australasia. SSES data can be used in a range of ways to enhance educational practice, some of which are summarised in the AUSSE Enhancement Guides.

## **Staff Student Engagement Questionnaire (SSEQ)**

The SSES survey instrument is called the Staff Student Engagement Questionnaire (SSEQ). The SSEQ is based on the instrument used for the Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE) that has been run since 2004 by the Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research. Links between the two instruments, and between the SSEQ and the SEQ, provide a basis for benchmarking.

The structure and content of the SSEQ closely mirrors the Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ) that is used for the AUSSE. Results for most of the SSEQ items can be compared directly to those for the SEQ. Participating staff are to respond to questions about student engagement based on the first-year or later-year students that they have taught during the last two academic years.

The SSEQ is designed for online administration to academic staff in under 15 minutes. This same SSEQ form is used for all academic staff. The instrument contains items that tap a range of key educational phenomena. A selection of these items are grouped together to measure the six summary scales.

**Academic** Extent to which expectations and

**Challenge** assessments challenge students to learn

**Active** Students' efforts to actively construct their

**Learning** knowledge

**Student and** Level and nature of students' contact with

**Staff Interactions** teaching staff

**Enriching Educational** Participation in broadening educational

**Experiences** activities

**Supportive Learning** Feelings of legitimation within the

**Environment** university community

**Work Integrated** Integration of employment-focused work

**Learning** experiences into study

The SSEQ also captures staff perceptions on student outcomes, including higherorder thinking, general learning outcomes, general development outcomes and overall satisfaction.

The SSEQ was administered for the first time in 2008. Prior to its deployment in Australia and New Zealand, ACER further developed and validated the FSSE instrument in 2008, with additional validation undertaken in 2009. A range of new

and redesigned items were included. Validation has included pilot testing and expert review. A range of psychometric and conceptual analyses have been conducted. This work builds on the extensive validation in the USA of the FSSE instrument.

# A quality-assured approach

## The research and enhancement cycle

The AUSSE survey methodology is designed to be valid, efficient and innovative. It deploys approaches which hitherto have been rarely, if ever, used in Australasian higher education research.

The AUSSE reflects a collaboration between participating institutions and ACER. While largely centrally managed by ACER, key activities are conducted by institutions.

Preparation for the AUSSE is led by ACER. It involves refining and validating instruments and systems, securing any necessary approvals, liaising with participating institutions, drawing the student sample, and despatching materials to institutions. Institutions and the AUSSE Advisory Group play an important role in shaping key aspects of survey design and management.

The AUSSE is conducted according to the 2007 National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research<sup>1</sup> and the Australian Council for Educational Research Code of Ethics. ACER routinely collects sensitive test, evaluation and other data and has well established and tested procedures for protecting sensitive materials. Participating institutions are responsible for securing internal human research ethics or other approvals.

AUSSE fieldwork is designed to be efficient and produce valid results. It involves an iterative and multimodal approach, which is sequenced to maintain the momentum of student participation and survey returns. From late July to early September, materials are sent from institutions to students and staff, and completed responses are returned directly to ACER (paper forms via NZCER for New Zealand institutions). ACER prepares and analyses the AUSSE data, and produces the institutional and cross-institutional reports.

Interpreting, analysing and acting on survey results are the most significant components of the AUSSE. This institution report provides ideas for how institutions might use the data for quality enhancement and improvement. As with all data collections, it is important that AUSSE results are used in technically and educationally appropriate ways. The AUSSE is intended to provide a source of evidence for each institution's conversations about engagement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Research Council, Australian Vice-Chancellors' Committee (NHMRC, ARC, AVCC) (2007). *National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research*. Canberra: Australian Government.

## A valid and efficient sample

Rather than a census of all students, efficient and robust sampling strategies are used to identify students and staff who are invited to take part in the AUSSE and SSES. Stratified systematic sampling strategies are deployed to produce powerful, generalisable and representative estimates of first- and later-year student engagement. These sampling strategy are important, as they reduce the number of students and staff that need to be approached, and build in scientific techniques that help ensure the quality of results.

ACER's management of the sample provides assurance of the validity of AUSSE results. In summary, institutions supply ACER with a de-identified list of students in the target population. ACER validates this list, draws the sample, and returns the sampled list to institutions. Institutions re-attach student contact details to the list and prepare it for survey distribution. This same sampling process is repeated for the staff survey. This sample verification process, and the conversations that surround it, is a major form of quality assurance in the survey design and fieldwork. In 2008 it prevented administrative errors at participating institutions.

The target population for the AUSSE is not the same as the total Australasian higher education student population. In 2009, the target population included 104,137 first-year students and 119,392 later-year students, giving 223,529 students in total across the 35 institutions. In broad terms, this population consisted of:

- on-shore students in their first year of an undergraduate qualification who have not previously been involved in or completed a higher education qualification
- on-shore students in their third year of an undergraduate qualification who have completed around five full-time equivalent semesters of an undergraduate degree.

Different sample sizes were defined for different sizes of institution. Institution size was based on the number of first-year students. Up to 2,500 first-year and later-year students were surveyed at institutions with 1,500 or fewer first-year students. Up to 3,000 students were surveyed at institutions with between 1,500 and 5,000 first-year students. Up to 3,500 students were surveyed at institutions with more than 5,000 first-year students. A certain amount of oversampling is built into these specifications to reduce the need for complex follow-up of replacement samples. In addition, an oversampling option was provided in 2009 to assist with the generation of estimates for specific demographic or institutional student subgroups. This expanded the sample size at many institutions, with a few conducting a census of all people in the targe population.

Of the 223,529 students in the 2009 target population, a total of 123,960 at 35 institutions were invited to take part in the 2009 AUSSE. A small number of mail and email surveys were undeliverable and returned to ACER and institutions. The actual target population might be conservatively estimated to be around 123,000. A link to the online survey form was sent to all sampled students. The ACER sample design allowed for 58,150 students to also be sent a paper survey form.

For the AUSSE in 2009, a total of 30,621 usable responses were received prior to production of the final data file. This included 6,633 (8,040 in 2008) paper and 23,988 (17,593 in 2008) online responses. The random allocation of the three versions of the online instrument to sampled students ensured that roughly equal numbers completed each version (8,520, 7,562 and 7,906), reducing the impact of unreached non-response on data quality.

The sample design for the student collection included a target response rate of 20 per cent. The secured Australasian response rate, not adjusted for undeliverable contacts, was 24.7 per cent. The response rate varied from around 13.6 at one institution (up slightly from a 2008 minimum of 11.8 per cent) to 47.9 per cent (down from a 2008 high of 52.6 per cent) at another. In total, 25 of the 35 institutions secured over the 20 per cent target response rate. The middle 70 per cent of institutions received response rates ranging between 16.3 and 32.6 per cent.

By way of comparison, the average institutional response rate in USA was 36 per cent (up from 35 per cent in 2008) for institutions using the same mix of online and paper surveying as in the AUSSE, with 70 per cent achieving response rates between 22 per cent and 50 per cent. Fourteen Canadian institutions from six provinces participated in NSSE 2009. The average Canadian institutional response rate for NSSE 2009 was 43 per cent, up from 39 per cent in 2008.

Post-stratification weighting is used to ensure that responses represent the target population. As possible given available information, AUSSE weights account for year level, attendance type, and respondent sex.

The target population for the SSES is not the same as the total Australasian higher education population of academic staff. In broad terms, it consists of: on-shore academic staff working in faculties with the exception of adjunct, casual or honorary staff. In 2009 the target population used for sampling purposes included 13,209 staff.

The sample size for the SSES was calculated by taking account of technical considerations, institutional requirements, analysis and reporting processes, and survey response contexts. In summary, depending on the number of staff to be surveyed, the design sought to secure responses from either 150 staff (based on a survey of up to 750 staff) or 300 staff (based on a survey of up to 1,500 staff). These yields assume a 20 per cent target response rate. It is preferable to secure responses from at least 50 per cent of academic staff if there are fewer than 750 at an institution.

The secured Australasian response rate, not adjusted for undeliverable contacts, was 22.1 per cent (down from 28.4 per cent in 2008). The response rate varied from around 8.8 per cent (down from a 2008 minimum of 11.5 per cent) at one institution to 56.0 per cent (up from a 2008 high of 44.8 per cent) at another. In total, responses were secured from at least 25 per cent of sampled staff at five of the ten participating institutions.

Like the student collection, post-stratification weighting is used to ensure that responses represent the target population. As possible given available information, the SSES data is weighted by level and sex.

As with all large-scale surveys, the AUSSE offers indicative rather than definitive evidence of the phenomena being measured. Results should be treated with caution, especially when respondent sample sizes are small.





## Overview

It is critical that AUSSE results are interpreted in educationally and technically appropriate ways. The AUSSE uses post-stratification weighting to ensure the representativeness of sample estimates. These weights adjust, as possible given data availability, for year level, attendance type and respondent sex. Seven key reports summarise different aspects of students' perceptions of their engagement with university education. ways. Data files are provided to institutions via a secure ACER website to facilitate further analysis and reporting.

# **Key reports**

Executive summary

A high-level overview of key institutional results which is included

in the front of this report.

Respondent characteristics

Summary information on response, student and course

characteristics.

Response categories

The weighted percentage of responses to the different response categories of all survey items on the Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ) and the Staff Student Engagement

Questionnaire (SSEQ), where applicable. Results are provided for first- and later-year students at your institution, and for several

benchmark comparison groups.

Item statistics

Weighted means that summarise item results. Results are provided for first- and later-year students at your institution and for several comparison groups. Staff results are shown where applicable. This report includes a 'traffic light' report that summarises results that are above or below average.

Scale statistics

Weighted means that summarise scale results. Results are provided for first- and later-year students at your institution, for staff where applicable, and for several comparison groups.

**Subgroup statistics** 

Weighted means are reported of summary AUSSE scale statistics for key student subgroups and comparison groups. It is important to note that the 2009 AUSSE has been designed to provide results that represent the engagement of first- and later-year students. While results for subgroups are presented, they may not be as reliable as the year level estimates and should be treated with caution. This report also includes a 'traffic light' report that summarises results that are above or below average.

**Annual Scale Scores** 

Weighted means that summarise scale results at your institution, and across Australasia for each year of participation in the AUSSE. Results are provided for engagement scales and outcome measures of both first- and later-year students.

















# **Evidence-based quality improvement**

As experience in the USA has shown, 'student engagement' provides a practical lens for addressing the significant dynamics, constraints and opportunities facing higher education institutions. The lens provides key insights into what students are actually doing, a structure for framing conversations about quality, and a stimulus for guiding new thinking into practice.

Developing strategies to use engagement data for continuous quality improvement is an important part of the AUSSE. Information about student engagement can play a valuable role in enhancing the quality of higher education, if only by stimulating conversations about how students engage in high-quality learning or exposing students and teaching staff to lists of good learning practices.

Institutions must make informed, professional decisions about what particular student engagement data they will act on and about how to take necessary action. The following AUSSE Enhancement Guides present a range of ideas to help specific stakeholders and institutions make the most of their AUSSE data and results.

As these AUSSE Enhancement Guides suggest, information on student engagement can provide guidance to many different stakeholders at universities as they have the ability to affect students' engagement with university. We have also included a set of enhancement guides which indicate how student engagement information can be used to provide information to potential students, for internal and external quality assurance activities, to help academic staff target their teaching, to understand how students are interacting with institutional resources, to inform employers about student characteristics and growth, and to manage particular student cohorts. Most importantly, understanding student involvement can be used to attract, engage and retain students in university education.

# A growing resource

Collecting and documenting information about how institutions are using student engagement information is an ongoing process. We would very much like to hear about how you are using your AUSSE data so that we can share best practice across Australia and New Zealand.

If you would like to send us specific examples of reports or brochures highlighting AUSSE data, usage strategies, and particular activities you have undertaken in relation to improving student engagement, please use our contact details below. These examples will form a free, shared resource for universities and assist in our continuing efforts to improve the quality of the undergraduate experience for all students.

Please email <u>ausse@acer.edu.au</u> or send hard copy material to the following postal address: AUSSE, ACER, Private Bag 55, Camberwell, 3124, Victoria, Australia.







# Australasian Survey of Student Engagement



