Queensland Review of Senior Assessment and Tertiary Entrance Processes

Key Stakeholder Forum

No change, small important changes, or fundamental change?

Thursday 13 March 2014 3:45 pm for 4:00 pm to 8:00 pm Queens Ballroom Hilton Hotel

Host			
Australian Council for Educational Research			
Professor Geoff Masters & Dr Gabrielle Matters			
Doubleton and			
Participants			
Representatives of 27 key stakeholder organisations			
List of participants to be distributed			
Facilitator			
Mary Maher & Associates			
Ms Mary Maher			
Graphic Recording			
curiousmindsco			
Ms Michelle Walker			

Agenda

3:45 pm	Arrival		
SESSION 1			
4:00 pm – 4:10 pm	Welcome and introduction	Gabrielle Matters	
		Geoff Masters	
4:10 pm – 4:30 pm	Setting the scene	Mary Maher	
SESSION 2			
4:30 pm – 5:15 pm	Stakeholder 2-minute Pitch – Question 1*	Mary Maher 12 representatives of key	
	Pitchers are two groups, each of six	stakeholder organisations	
	stakeholder representatives	(not the same 12 as for Q2)	
	Reflection on the pitches	Gabrielle Matters	
5:20 pm – 6:00 pm	Stakeholder 2-minute Pitch – Question 2**	Mary Maher	
	Pitchers are two groups, each of six	12 representatives of key stakeholder organisations	
	stakeholder representatives	(not the same 12 as for Q1)	
	Reflection on the pitches	Gabrielle Matters	
LIGHT REFRESHMENTS			
6:00 pm – 6:30 pm	Drinks and nibbles and comment boards		
SESSION 3			
6:30 pm – 7:30 pm	Your best ideas		
	Ideas groups' discussion	Mary Maher	
	Feedback from standing microphone	Michelle Walker	
7:30 pm –7.50 pm	What has been said and what has been heard		
	Main points heard	Geoff Masters	
7.50 pm – 8:00 pm	Close and thanks	Mary Maher	
	What next	Gabrielle Matters	

*Pitch Session One

Question 1

What do you think about the following?

- Preserving school-based assessment
- Introducing an externally set and marked assessment
- Revamping current moderation processes
- Testing a small number of key cross-curriculum capabilities

**Pitch Session Two

Question 2

What do you see as the advantages and/or disadvantages of the following?

- Reporting subject results on a finer scale than at present
- QCAA's responsibilities at the secondary-tertiary interface culminating in the certification of highly valid and reliable subject results (i.e. no SAIs or QCS scaling) and KC results (if applicable)
- Universities being responsible for how subject results are used (e.g. constructing a rank order list such as the ATAR or other rankings, specifying pre-requisites, giving greater weight to some subjects, 'counting' fewer than 5 subjects)

Note

Both questions are relevant to schools and universities. In the assignment of stakeholders to questions, stakeholders are not considered to be operating in an exclusively secondary or tertiary environment.



ACER greatly appreciates stakeholders' preparation for, and participation in, this forum.